## ANNEX A: Checklist for developing a national position

This checklist offers a non-exhaustive list of considerations that may assist States in developing or reviewing a national position on the application of international law to cyber activities. It is organised in line with the structure of the Handbook and is intended as a practical reference tool to help guide internal planning, coordination, and decision-making. Not all points will be relevant in every context and their sequence may need to be tailored to fit national requirements.

Motivations (for more information, see Chapter 2)		
	Identify the principal motivations for developing a national position.	
	Consider what functions the position should serve (e.g. communicative, transformative, preventative).	
	Outline the respective aims and expected outcomes of the national position.	
	Identify possible risks, constraints, or sensitivities, including those related to disclosure, operational flexibility, available capacity or lack of internal consensus.	
	Decide whether to develop a national position.	
	Consider whether to proceed with a public, partial, or internal-only position, and how best to manage strategic omissions if needed.	
Pro	ocess (for more information, see Chapter 3)	
	Consider national specifics to tailor the process and the order of steps.	
	Secure a mandate to initiate the process.	
	Map relevant stakeholders across government and other sectors.	
	Determine the lead agency and coordination mechanisms.	
	Appoint one or more penholders and, if possible, a multidisciplinary drafting team.	
	Develop a plan and timeline for the process, including major milestones. Consider using the 5W&H framework (Who? What? Why? When? Where? How?).	
	Identify capacity-building needs and consider how these can be addressed (e.g. through partnerships, training, or external support).	
	Consult relevant national and international stakeholders, including technical and operational agencies, legal advisors, and, where appropriate, the general public or civil society.	

	Conduct desk research and gather reference materials from existing national positions, multilateral fora, academic sources, and domestic documents.	
	Select a drafting approach (deductive, inductive, or hybrid).	
	Draft the position through an iterative process, including an appropriate number of stages of internal review, consolidation, and refinement.	
	Prepare for formal adoption in line with domestic legal or procedural requirements.	
	Plan for future review, updates, or follow-up based on developments in law or policy. $ \\$	
Substance (for more information, see Chapter 4)		
	Determine the desired breadth and depth of analysis, based on national interests and priorities.	
	Consult existing national positions and other relevant resources such as the Cyber Law Toolkit, the Oxford Process, and the Tallinn Manual 2.0.	
	Identify the key rules and principles of international law to be included (e.g. sovereignty, due diligence, non-intervention, prohibition of the use of force).	
	Decide whether to include views on specialized regimes of international law (e.g. IHL, international human rights law, international criminal law).	
Fo	rmat and Dissemination (for more information, see Chapter 5)	
	Choose an appropriate format (e.g. speech, submission to a multilateral forum, academic article, or standalone written document).	
	Structure the document clearly and consider using headings, summaries, and numbered paragraphs.	
	Determine the appropriate tone and level of technicality for the intended audiences.	
	Consider including practical scenarios or real-world examples to illustrate key points.	
	Review the consistency of terminology and framing across all topics.	
	Ensure accessibility, including potential translations into other languages and the use of visual aids if relevant.	
	Develop a dissemination strategy, including options for launch, such as a public event or online announcement.	

168